Sri B. D. JATTI.—It is absolutely incorrect. Beyond that, I cannot say anything.

Sri G. DUGGAPPA.—May I know in how many cases, the Government have not given effect to the list though it has been approved by the Central Government ?

Sri B. D. JATTI.—If there are any cases, it must be in the Public Works Department because the Government of India has asked us to submit once again the list which is to be finalised by them. In that Department there may be cases. Wherever the list has been finalised, we have sent it for publication in the Gazette and there is no need to withhold it.

Sri H. SIDDAVEERAPPA.—In the case of non-gazetted Officers, do you require Government of India's concurrence ?

Sri B. D. JATTI.—Yes, Sir, because there are two Advisory Committees; one is Central Advisory Committee and another is State Advisory Committee. We have to send the list to the State Advisory Committee and finally we have to get the approval of the Government of India.

Sri G. DUGGAPPA.—Is it not a fact that the final list of Inter-State Seniority list concerning the P.W. Overseers and staff nurses of the Medical Department has been very recently received by the Government from the Central Covernment ?

Sri B. D. JATTI.—No, Sir.

Sri G. DUGGAPPA.—Please refer to your Deputy Secretary. He will give you the information.

Sri B. D. JATTI.—If the Hon'ble Member gives information, I will get it examined, Sir.

Construction of a bridge at Railway Crossing Gate, Birur

458. Sri M. MALLAPPA (Birur).—

Will the Minister for Public Works be pleased to state :—

(*a*) has it come to the notice of the Government that the Public of Birur and Lingadahalli are urging for construction of an under or over bridge in lieu of existing railway crossing gate within the Town limits of Birur ;

(*b*) is it not a fact that the Government of India are prepared to take up the construction of providing a bridge in lieu of railway crossing gate provided the proposals are sent by the State Government ;

(*c*) is it not a fact that estimates are prepared for providing roads to cross the proposed bridge ;

(*d*) what action the Government proposes to take for obtaining sanction of the Central Government ?

A.— **Sri VEERENDRA PATIL** (Minister for Public Works).—

(*a*) Yes.

(*b*) No reference has been received from Government of India in this case.

(*c*) No.

(*d*) The proposal will be considered at the time of forwarding the list of works to the Railway authorities, subject to the availability of funds for the formation of approaches.

Sri M. MALLAPPA.—Sir, what is the adopted policy of the State Government in sending proposals to construct bridges by the Central Government ?

† **Sri VEERENDRA PATIL.**—We have already sent a number of proposals. Where is the point in sending merely proposals ?

Sri. M. MALLAPPA.—What is the adopted policy in sending proposals for the construction of bridges to the Central Government and what is the expenditure incurred by the State Government, and the Central Government in this behalf ?

Sri VEERENDRA PATIL.—So far as Railway over or under bridges are concerned, the matter is, the bridge is constructed by the Railway and the approaches have to be constructed by the State Government, wherever it is the State Highway or other P. W. roads. If it is within the municipal area, 50 per cent of the cost will have to be borne by the Municipalities. Taking into consideration the importance of the roads and the traffic potential, we make certain recommendations to the railway authorities every year.

ಶ್ರೀ ಸಿದ್ದಯ್ಯ ಕಾಶೀಮಠ್.—ಆ ಬಿರೂರಲ್ಲಿರುವ ಹಾಗೇ ಆ ಹುಬ್ಬಳ್ಳಿ ಮತ್ತು ಧಾರ್ವಾಡ ನಡುವೆ ಈ ಓವರ್ ಬ್ರಿಡ್ಜನ್ನು ಕಟ್ಟಿತಕ್ಕ ಅವಶ್ಯಕತೆ ಇದ್ದು ನಾವು ಸರ್ಕಾರಕ್ಕೆ ಮೇಲಿಂದ ಮೇಲೆ ಬರೆದರೂ ಅವರು ರೈಲ್ವೆ ಇಲಾಖೆಯವರಿಗೆ ಬರೆಯು ಕಾರ್ಯಕ್ರಮ ಕೈಗೊಳ್ಳದಿರುವುದಕ್ಕೂ ಕಾರಣಗಳೇನು ?

ಶ್ರೀ ವೀರೇಂದ್ರ ಪಾಟೀಲ್.—ಅನೇಕ ಕಡೆಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಈ ನ್ಯಾಷನಲ್ ಹೈವೇ ಮೇಲೆ ಹರಿಹರ, ದಾವಣಗೆರೆ, ಹಾವೇರಿ ಮತ್ತು ರಾಣೆಬೆನ್ನೂರು ಇತ್ಯಾದಿ ಕಡೆಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಈ ಸೇತುವೆಗಳು ಅಗತ್ಯವಾಗಿ ಬೇಕಾಗಿರುತ್ತವೆಂದು ನಾವು ಆ ರೈಲ್ವೆ ಇಲಾಖೆಯವರಿಗೆ ಮೇಲಿಂದ ಮೇಲೆ ತಿಳಿಸುತ್ತಿದ್ದರೂ ಅವರು ಹಣದ ಅಭಾವದಿಂದಲೋ ಏನೋ ಕೈಗೊಂಡಿಲ್ಲ.

Sri M. MALLAPPA.—Has it come to the notice of the Government that I have forwarded a copy of letter sent by the Central Railway Ministry that they were prepared to take up the construction of the bridge provided firm proposals are sent by the Mysore Government and the State Government is hesitating to sent proposals ?

Sri VEERENDRA PATIL.—The pattern is that they construct bridges and we have to construct approaches. This is well within the municipal limits and let my friend say that the municipality is prepared to construct approaches, I will send the proposals tomorrow itself.

---

† Indicates that the speeches or remarks have not been revised by the member concerned.

Sri M. MALLAPPA.—The approaches are already there. Only the bridge will have to be constructed and a proposal will have to be sent to the Central Government. There is a lapse on the part of the State Government. The approach is already there. The existing gate will have to be taken away and a bridge will have to be constructed.

Sri VEERENDRA PATIL.—It is very difficult to accept this position, whether the approach road is necessary or not. When it is on a high embankment. the cost of the approach may be little. We have to examine the position. That is why I suggest that if the municipality is prepared to meet that much of the expenditure, I am prepared to forward this proposal tomorrow itself. Let the Municipality pass a resolution and forward it to the State Government.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಚ್. ಬಿ. ಜ್ಞಾನಯ್ಯ.—ಎಲ್ಲ ವೆಚ್ಚವನ್ನೂ ಪೌರ ಸಭೆಯವರೇ ವಹಿಸಿಕೊಳ್ಳುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಬದಲಾಗಿ ಸ್ವಲ್ಪ ಹಣವನ್ನು ಪೌರಸಭೆಯವರೂ ಮತ್ತು ಸ್ವಲ್ಪ ಹಣವನ್ನು ಸರ್ಕಾರದವರೂ ವಹಿಸಿಕೊಳ್ಳುವಂತೆ ಮಾಡುವುದಕ್ಕಾಗುವುದಿಲ್ಲವೇ ?

ಶ್ರೀ ವೀರೇಂದ್ರ ಪಾಟೀಲ್.—ನಾನಾಗಲೇ ಹೇಳಿದೆ ಅವರು 50 ಪರ್ಸೆಂಟ್ ಹಾಕಿದರೆ ಸರ್ಕಾರದವರು ಇನ್ನುಳಿದ 50 ಪರ್ಸೆಂಟನ್ನು ಹಾಕುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಪ್ರಯತ್ನ ಮಾಡುತ್ತಾರೆ ಎಂದು.

ಶ್ರೀ ಬಿ. ದೊಡ್ಡ ಬೋರೇಗೌಡ.—ಬೆಂಗಳೂರಾಮನಗರ ಮತ್ತು ಮಂಡ್ಯಾ ಆಲ್ಲೆರ್ಲಾ ಹೈವೇ ರೈಲ್ವೆ ರೈನನ್ನು ಕ್ರಾಸ್ ಮಾಡುವಲ್ಲ ಏಕೆ ಈ ಓವರ್ ಬ್ರಿಡ್ಜ್‌ಗಳನ್ನು ಮಾಡಿಸಿಲ್ಲ ?

ಶ್ರೀ ವೀರೇಂದ್ರ ಪಾಟೀಲ್.—ಎಲ್ಲೆಲ್ಲ ನ್ಯಾಷನಲ್ ಹೈವೇ ರೈಲ್ವೆ ರೈನನ್ನು ಕ್ರಾಸ್ ಮಾಡುತ್ತದೋ ಅಲ್ಲೆಲ್ಲಾ ಓವರ್ ಅಥವಾ ಅಂಡರ್ ಬ್ರಿಡ್ಜ್‌ಗಳನ್ನು ಮಾಡಿಸಲೇ ಬೇಕು. ಆದರೆ, ಅವುಗಳ ಪ್ರಾಮುಖ್ಯತೆ, ಅಲ್ಲಿರತಕ್ಕ ಟ್ರಾಫಿಕ್ ಮತ್ತು ವಾಹನಗಳ ಸಂಚಾರ ಇತ್ಯಾದಿಗಳನ್ನೆಲ್ಲಾ ನೋಡಿಕೊಂಡು ಅವುಗಳನ್ನು ಕಾರ್ಯಗತ ಮಾಡುತ್ತಿದ್ದೇವೆ.

Mr. DEPUTY SPEAKER.—Question No. 459 may be put by Smt. Winnifred F. Fernandes.

Dearth of Water in Katipalla Rehabilitation Centre

459. Smt. WINNIFRED F. FERNANDES (Coondapur) [On behalf of Sri P. V. AITHALA (Surathkal)].—

Will the Minister for Revenue and Forests be pleased to state :—

(*a*) whether there is great dearth of water both for drinking as well as for construction of buildings in the 3rd Block of Katipalla Rehabilitation Centre in Iddya and Kuthethoor villages of Mangalore Taluk ;

(*b*) whether the construction of houses and a Roman Catholic Church and other buildings are hindered for want of necessary water in the said area ;

(*c*) whether it is a fact that there is no sufficient water in the two wells in this Block ;

(*d*) whether the 3rd well in this block is in a valley about 100 feet below the building in the 3rd block and it is very difficult to draw